

mission induction with cyclophosphamide, rituximab was superior to azathioprine for relapse prevention (15). However, this was followed by an increase in relapse risk after rituximab withdrawal, with a mean time to relapse of 2 years after the rituximab dose. MAINRITSAN 2 (Comparison Study of Two Rituximab Regimens in the Remission of ANCA-Associated Vasculitis) answered the question of frequency of rituximab dosing by demonstrating that relapse rates were similar for tailored and scheduled rituximab, with fewer infusions in the tailored group (16). In 2020, MAINRITSAN 3 (Comparison between a Long-Term and a Conventional Maintenance Treatment with Rituximab) showed that extending rituximab maintenance therapy by another 2 years was associated with reduced relapse risk compared to standard maintenance therapy (17).

The appropriate maintenance regimen in patients with relapsing disease was provided with further clarity in the same year. The RITAZAREM (Rituximab Vasculitis Maintenance Study) trial recruited patients with relapsed AAV whose remission was re-induced with rituximab and glucocorticoids. Patients were then randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either rituximab (1000 mg every 4 months for 5 doses) or azathioprine (2 mg/kg/day) as maintenance therapy.

The authors recently published results of the induction-phase findings from the trial, demonstrating treatment with rituximab and glucocorticoids achieved a remission rate of 90% by the fourth month (18). The initial results of the maintenance phase (rituximab vs. azathioprine) were reported at the American College of Rheumatology and European Renal Association conferences. Rituximab was superior to azathioprine for preventing disease relapse in patients with AAV with a prior history of relapse. Twenty months after randomization, 13% of patients in the rituximab group had experienced a relapse compared to 38% of patients in the azathioprine group (19, 20). This trial has added more nuance to the care of patients with relapsing disease, which may represent a separate phenotype of disease.

Conclusion

A collaborative effort by nephrology and rheumatology has resulted in significant strides in the understanding of pathogenesis of disease and improvement in outcomes by continual innovation in management strategies. The next frontier lies in stratification of patient factors that might influence treatment response and evaluation of the use of biomarkers and predictors of relapse, allowing for more tailored treatment protocols with minimal side effects without compromising efficacy to improve outcomes in AAV. ■

Sam Kant, MD, and Duvuru Geetha, MBBS, MD, are affiliated with the Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University of School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD.

Sam Kant reports no disclosures. Duvuru Geetha has the following disclosures: site principal investigator for the ADVOCATE trial and consultant to ChemoCentryx (manufacturer of Avacopan) and Aurinia Pharmaceuticals Inc.

References

1. Furuta S, Jayne DRW. Antineutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis: Recent developments. *Kidney Int* 2013; 84:244–249. doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.24
2. Halbwachs L, Lesavre P. Endothelium-neutrophil interactions in ANCA-associated diseases. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; 23:1449–1461. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2012020119
3. Jayne DRW, et al. Randomized trial of C5a receptor inhibitor avacopan in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2017; 28:2756–2767. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016111179
4. Jayne DRW, et al. Avacopan for the treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384:599–609. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2023386
5. Walsh M, et al. Plasma exchange and glucocorticoids in severe ANCA-associated vasculitis. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382:622–631. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1803537
6. Kronbichler A, et al. Frequency, risk factors and prophylaxis of infection in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2015; 45:346–368. doi: 10.1111/eci.12410
7. Flossmann O, et al. Long-term patient survival in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70:488–494. doi: 10.1136/ard.2010.137778
8. Robson J, et al. Glucocorticoid treatment and damage in the anti-neutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitides: Long-term data from the European Vasculitis Study Group trials. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2015; 54:471–481. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keu366
9. McGregor JAG, et al. Glucocorticoids and relapse and infection rates in anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; 7:240–247. doi: 10.2215/CJN.05610611
10. Goupil R, et al. Lymphopenia and treatment-related infectious complications in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; 8:416–423. doi: 10.2215/CJN.07300712
11. Charlier C, et al. Risk factors for major infections in Wegener granulomatosis: Analysis of 113 patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68:658–663. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.088302
12. Smith RM, et al. Rituximab as therapy to induce remission after relapse in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 79:1243–1249. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216863
13. Jayne D, et al. A randomized trial of maintenance therapy for vasculitis associated with antineutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349:36–44. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa020286
14. de Groot K, et al. Pulse versus daily oral cyclophosphamide for induction of remission in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis: A randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 150:670–680. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-150-10-200905190-00004
15. Guillevin L, et al. Rituximab versus azathioprine for maintenance in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 371:1771–1780. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1404231
16. Charles P, et al. Comparison of individually tailored versus fixed-schedule rituximab regimen to maintain ANCA-associated vasculitis remission: Results of a multicentre, randomised controlled, phase III trial (MAINRITSAN2). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018; 77:1143–1149. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212878
17. Charles P, et al. Long-term rituximab use to maintain remission of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis: A randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2020; 173:179–187. doi: 10.7326/M19-3827
18. Smith RM, et al. Rituximab as therapy to induce remission after relapse in ANCA-associated vasculitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 79:1243–1249. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216863
19. Smith R, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of rituximab versus azathioprine after induction of remission with rituximab for patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis and relapsing disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2020; 35 (Suppl 3):LB004. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfaa146.LB004
20. Smith R, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of rituximab versus azathioprine after induction of remission with rituximab for patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis and relapsing disease [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019; 71 (Suppl 10):806. <https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/a-randomized-controlled-trial-of-rituximab-versus-azathioprine-after-induction-of-remission-with-rituximab-for-patients-with-anca-associated-vasculitis-and-relapsing-disease/>

Treatment Updates in Alport Syndrome

By Mairead Pfaff and Christine B. Sethna

Alport syndrome is an inherited kidney disease characterized by abnormalities in the glomerular basement membrane and is associated with hearing loss, ocular anomalies, and risk for progressive loss of kidney function. Alport syndrome accounts for 3% of children with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and 0.2% of adults with kidney failure in the United States (1). The exact prevalence of Alport syndrome is unknown, but

it is believed to be approximately 1 to 9 per 100,000 people (1). Alport syndrome is phenotypically heterogeneous and results from various patterns of genetic inheritance of mutations in type IV collagen genes (COL4A3, COL4A4, and COL4A5). The most common form is an X-linked mutation in COL4A5, which accounts for 80% of Alport syndrome. Inheritance may also be autosomal recessive and autosomal dominant. More rarely, Alport syndrome can be caused by de novo mutations in the collagen IV genes.

Although variable, the natural course of Alport syndrome progresses from hematuria to albuminuria, followed by proteinuria, glomerular and tubulointerstitial fibrosis, decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and kidney failure. Current treatment recommendations for Alport syndrome focus on slowing this progression of kidney disease (Table 1).

The current standard of care for patients with Alport syndrome includes the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs). The recent Efficacy and Safety Study to Delay Renal Failure in Children with Alport Syndrome (Early PRO-TECT) trial demonstrated that early treatment with the ACE inhibitor ramipril reduced the albuminuria slope

and delayed the decline in eGFR in children with Alport syndrome (2). In recent guidelines developed by Kashtan and Gross (3), genetic testing is recommended in suspected Alport syndrome patients with clinical or pedigree data suggesting a diagnosis of Alport syndrome to help guide treatment. In male X-linked and all patients with autosomal-recessive Alport syndrome, progression to CKD is more likely, and it is suggested that ACE inhibitor/ARB treatment begin at the time of diagnosis, unless diagnosis is before the ages of 12–24 months. Female X-linked and all autosomal-dominant patients are less likely to develop CKD; therefore, it is suggested that treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs should begin at the onset of microalbuminuria (3).

Several novel therapeutic agents for the treatment of Alport syndrome are currently being investigated. The Phase 2/3 Trial of the Efficacy and Safety of Bardoxolone Methyl in Patients with Alport Syndrome (CARDINAL) is a recently completed clinical trial that compared the efficacy and safety of bardoxolone methyl to placebo in patients with Alport syndrome and CKD. Bardoxolone methyl is an oral agent that activates transcription factor Nrf2 and inhib-

GLOMERULAR DISEASES

Alport Syndrome

Continued from page 25

its nuclear factor- κ B, thereby inducing anti-inflammatory molecular pathways, restoring mitochondrial function, and reducing oxidative stress. In a recent press release, Reata Pharmaceuticals announced positive results for the primary outcome, achieving a statistically significant improvement in eGFR of 7.7 mL/min/1.73 m² from baseline after 2 years in Alport syndrome patients with CKD treated with bardoxolone methyl compared to placebo (4). Additionally, Reata reported the results of the long-term extension trial, Extended Access Program for Bardoxolone Methyl in Patients with CKD (EAGLE), which also showed favorable outcomes with improvement in eGFR in 14 patients after 3 years of treatment (4). Bardoxolone was reported to be well tolerated, with muscle spasms and elevated aminotransferases observed as the most common adverse events. These data have not yet been peer reviewed or published. The company announced that it will be seeking US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval.

Additionally, the use of microRNA (miRNA)-based treatments has been of interest after clinical evidence of increased levels of miRNA-21 was determined to contribute to kidney fibrosis in Alport syndrome (5, 6). A phase

2 randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study of lademirsen, an anti-miRNA-21 given by subcutaneous injection, is currently underway. The study, sponsored by Sanofi, has a target enrollment of 45 patients, and results are expected to be available in 2023 (7).

Atrasentan in Patients with Proteinuric Glomerular Diseases (AFFINITY) is a phase 2 open-label basket trial of atrasentan, an oral selective endothelin A receptor blocker agent. AFFINITY is set to begin recruitment in the first half of 2021. Chinook Therapeutics plans to recruit 80 participants with Alport syndrome, along with other proteinuric kidney diseases (8).

Overall, there have been many new developments in the diagnosis and treatment of Alport syndrome and promising clinical trials are underway. With these potential treatment options becoming available in the future, it is even more important that early diagnosis of Alport syndrome aided by genetic testing becomes more widely available and affordable. More research must be done to corroborate the guidelines regarding treatment paths for specific Alport syndrome genotypes. Last, with the recent increase in research for Alport syndrome, ongoing and upcoming trials should consider opinions of key stakeholders, including clinicians and patients, when planning clinical trials (9). ■

Mairead Pfaff is a research intern in the Division of Pedi-

atric Nephrology, Cohen Children's Medical Center, New Hyde Park, NY, while an active undergraduate student at the University of Notre Dame, IN. Christine B. Sethna, MD, is with the Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Cohen Children's Medical Center, New Hyde Park, NY.

The authors report no conflicts of interest for any of the medications discussed in the article.

References

1. National Organization for Rare Disorders (NORD). Alport Syndrome. Published 2020. Accessed February 2, 2021. <https://rarediseases.org/rare-diseases/alport-syndrome/>
2. Gross O, et al. A multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind phase 3 trial with open-arm comparison indicates safety and efficacy of nephroprotective therapy with ramipril in children with Alport's syndrome. *Kidney Int* 2020; 97:1275–1286. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2019.12.015
3. Kashtan CE, Gross O. Clinical practice recommendations for the diagnosis and management of Alport syndrome in children, adolescents, and young adults—an update for 2020. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2021; 36:711–719. doi: 10.1007/s00467-020-04819-6.
4. Reata Pharmaceuticals. Reata Announces Positive Results from Year 2 of the Pivotal Phase 3 CARDINAL

Table 1. Drugs to treat Alport syndrome

Summary of drugs currently used to treat Alport syndrome				
Drug class	Indication for treatment	Method of delivery	Mechanism of action	Possible side effects
Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) Inhibitors	XLAS males—at time of diagnosis XLAS females—microalbuminuria ARAS—at time of diagnosis ADAS—albuminuria	Oral	Inhibits ACE and prevents formation of angiotensin II; allows relaxation of blood vessels, decreases blood pressure, and decreases sodium levels in the blood	Dizziness, dry cough, angioedema, hyperkalemia, elevated creatinine
Angiotensin Receptor Blockers	Patients with persistent proteinuria after taking ACE inhibitors or patients who did not tolerate ACE inhibitors due to side effects	Oral	Blocks aldosterone from binding receptor, increasing excretion of water and sodium, retaining more potassium, decreasing blood pressure	Dizziness, angioedema, hyperkalemia, elevated creatinine
Summary of drugs currently being studied to treat Alport syndrome				
Drug/Company	Stage of development	Method of delivery	Mechanism of action	Primary outcome
Bardoxolone Methyl (RTA 402) Reata Pharmaceuticals	CARDINAL trial: phase 3 trial of 157 Alport syndrome (AS) patients randomized to bardoxolone or placebo for 100 weeks was completed in October 2020. EAGLE trial: long-term extension trial of 14 AS patients treated with bardoxolone for 3 years	Oral bardoxolone methyl capsules 5–30 mg QD	Activates the pathway of transcription factor Nrf2 and inhibits nuclear factor- κ B pathway. Together, these effects decrease kidney inflammatory responses and prevent fibrosis.	Compared to baseline, eGFR increased 7.7 mL/min/1.73 m ² (p = 0.0005) at 100 weeks. eGFR increased 11.5, 13.3, and 11 mL/min/1.73 m ² at years 1, 2, and 3, respectively.
Lademirsen (RG-012, SAR339375) Genzyme, a Sanofi Company	A phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study has a target enrollment of 45 participants. Study is actively recruiting.	Weekly subcutaneous injection of the anti-microRNA-21 drug	MicroRNA-21 reduces P42/P44 MAPK pathway activation and therefore reduces renal fibrosis and inflammation.	Adverse events Annualized change in eGFR from baseline to 48 weeks
Atrasentan (CHK-01, Atrasentan Hydrochloride, ABT-627) Chinook Therapeutics	AFFINITY study: phase 2, open-label basket study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of atrasentan; set to begin recruitment in the first half 2021.	Oral atrasentan 0.75 mg tablets QD	Inhibitor of endothelin-A receptor blocks the effect of endothelin-1 (ET-1); decreases the effects of ET-1 theorized to prevent progression to primary glomerular disease and reduce vasoconstriction	Change in urinary protein-to-creatinine ratio from baseline to week 12

- Study of Bardoxolone Methyl in Patients with Alport Syndrome. November 9, 2020. https://www.reatapharma.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/20201109_RETAPR_CARDINAL_year_2.pdf
5. Chen W, et al. Establishment of microRNA, transcript and protein regulatory networks in Alport syndrome induced pluripotent stem cells. *Mol Med Rep* 2019; 19:238–250. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2018.9672
 6. Chung AC, et al. MicroRNA and nephropathy: emerging concepts. *Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis* 2013; 6:169–179. doi: 10.2147/IJNRD.S37885
 7. Study of Lademirsen (SAR339375) in Patients with Alport Syndrome (HERA). ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02855268. Accessed February 2, 2021. [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02855268?cond=alport syndrome&draw=1&rank=3](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02855268?cond=alport%20syndrome&draw=1&rank=3)
 8. Atrasentan in Patients with Proteinuric Glomerular Diseases (AFFINITY). ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04573920. Accessed February 2, 2021. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04573920?cond=alport&draw=3&rank=17>
 9. Weinstock BA, et al. Clinical trial recommendations for potential Alport syndrome therapies. *Kidney Int* 2020; 97:1109–1116. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.02.029

Keep in Mind the Spectrum of Drug-Induced Glomerular Diseases

By Hassan Izzedine and Jia Hwei Ng

Drugs cause approximately 20% of community- and hospital-acquired episodes of acute kidney failure (1–3). Among older adults, the incidence of drug-induced nephrotoxicity may be as high as 66% (4). Drug-induced nephrotoxicity may account for 20% of acute kidney injury (AKI), including both acute and chronic kidney disease. Prospective cohort studies of AKI have documented the frequency of drug-induced nephrotoxicity to be approximately 14%–26% in intensive care unit cohorts (5–7).

A growing body of literature highlights the potential for drugs to induce not only AKI but also glomerular diseases, termed drug-induced glomerular diseases. Patients with glomerular involvement generally present with one of five clinical syndromes: recurrent macroscopic hematuria, microscopic hematuria associated with proteinuria, heavy proteinuria or nephritic/nephrotic syndrome, rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis (RPGN), or chronic glomerulonephritis (GN). Strict monitoring of kidney function, urine and blood abnormalities, and blood pressure must be performed in patients undergoing therapy with potentially toxic drugs. It is critical to recognize these conditions early, because in many patients, there is improvement after removing the offending medication (8). In certain scenarios, removal of the offending agent plus an immunosuppressive strategy has been employed. However, the effectiveness of immunosuppressive therapy in this context has not been determined. From a diagnostic and therapeutic standpoint, it is sometimes difficult to ascribe a drug as being directly causative versus unmasking a preexisting syndrome.

Drug-induced glomerular diseases can also be classified into two categories: direct cellular toxicity and immune-mediated injury (Table 1).

Direct glomerular cell injury involving the visceral epithelial (or podocytes), endothelial, and mesangial cells

Podocyte injury: Drug-induced podocytopathies can manifest as nephrotic syndrome, nephrotic range proteinuria, with or without AKI. The spectrum of pathologic findings has consisted of minimal change disease (MCD) and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). This includes both FSGS, not otherwise specified, and collapsing glomerulopathy. Multiple therapeutic agents have been associated with these lesions (Table 1), including interferon, bisphosphonates, lithium, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs; e.g., indomethacin, celecoxib), and androgenic anabolic steroids (8).

Endothelial cell injury: Thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) is characterized by mechanical microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and end organ injury. Pathologic findings include endothelial swelling and necrosis, glomerular and vascular thrombosis, mesangiolysis, glomerular basement membrane duplication with

cellular interposition, mucoid intimal edema, and fibrin deposition (8). Drugs are an important acquired cause of TMA (Table 1) and include anti-angiogenesis drugs, chemotherapy, interferon, quinine, calcineurin inhibitors, and thienopyridines (9). It is of interest that drug-induced TMA may be immune mediated (ADAMTS-13 or anti-platelet antibodies induction), a consequence of direct toxicity of the offending drug to endothelial cells and more recently, inhibition of the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway to involve injury to kidney podocytes (10). Although the majority of patients lack complement genetic variants, the response of drug-induced TMA to eculizumab may provide indirect evidence of complement activation in some cases (11).

Mesangial cell/area injury: Smoking-associated nodular glomerulosclerosis is a lesion related to heavy cigarette smoking (12), and smoking cessation seems to reduce the likelihood of progression to end stage kidney disease (13). Although usually idiopathic, the immunoglobulin A (IgA) antibody is occasionally induced by drugs (e.g., vancomycin, carbamazepine, ceftriaxone, and cyclosporine), malignancies, infections, and other causes (14).

Immune-mediated injury from drug-induced autoimmunity

Drug-induced autoimmunity is an idiosyncratic (type B) reaction, which is generally unpredictable and unrelated to the mechanism of action of the drug, unlike the type A reaction, which is drug dependent and dose related (15). Drug-induced autoimmunity is a rare phenomenon, occurring in <1% of patients exposed to a drug, leading to manifestations of lupus or vasculitis; and kidney involvement—even rarer—occurs in about 5% of patients with drug-induced autoimmunity (15). Most of the disorders improve upon stopping the medication. In patients where major organ injury is present, immunosuppression may be needed to quell the inflammation and prevent permanent damage (16). The mechanism of glomerular injury is thought to be from the activation of the adaptive immune system by the offending drug or its metabolite. There is not a classic syndrome ascribed to any one particular drug class (15).

Membranous nephropathy is the other form of drug-induced autoimmunity. Drugs used to treat rheumatoid arthritis, rarely used now including penicillamine and gold salts, were associated with membranous nephropathy. Currently, drug-induced membranous nephropathy is rare and has been reported with organic mercurials in skin-lightening creams, the newer rheumatoid arthritis drug adalimumab, and NSAIDs including celecoxib (17), gefitinib (18), and nivolumab (19). Interestingly, NSAID-associated membranous nephropathy accounted for 10% of patients with early membranous nephropathy (20).

Drug-induced glomerular diseases should be part of the

differential diagnosis in patients presenting with glomerular syndrome. Recognition of a drug-induced etiology and rapid withdrawal of the offending agent are essential to optimize the chances of recovery of kidney function. Steroids, eculizumab, and/or pheresis may not work in most of these cases. Clinicians must be aware of this clinical presentation in order to individualize patient management. ■

Hassan Izzedine, MD, PhD, is with the Department of Nephrology, Peupliers Private Hospital, Ramsay Générale de Santé, Paris, France. Jia Hwei Ng, MD, MSCE, is an Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell and Northwell Health Physician, Hempstead, NY.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Kaufman J, et al. Community-acquired acute renal failure. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1991; 17:191–198. doi: 10.1016/s0272-6386(12)81128-0
2. Nash K, et al. Hospital-acquired renal insufficiency. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 39:930–936. doi: 10.1053/ajkd.2002.32766
3. Bellomo R. The epidemiology of acute renal failure: 1975 versus 2005. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2006; 12:557–560. doi: 10.1097/01.ccx.0000247443.86628.68
4. Kohli HS, et al. Treatment-related acute renal failure in the elderly: a hospital-based prospective study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2000; 15:212–217. doi: 10.1093/ndt/15.2.212
5. Mehta RL, et al. Spectrum of acute renal failure in the intensive care unit: The PICARD experience. *Kidney Int* 2004; 66:1613–1621. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.00927.x
6. Uchino S, et al. Acute renal failure in critically ill patients: A multinational, multicenter study. *JAMA* 2005; 294:813–818. doi: 10.1001/jama.294.7.813
7. Hoste EAJ, et al. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: The multinational AKI-EPI study. *Intensive Care Med* 2015; 41:1411–1423. doi: 10.1007/s00134-015-3934-7
8. Radhakrishnan J, Perazella MA. Drug-induced glomerular disease: Attention required! *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2015; 10:1287–1290. doi: 10.2215/CJN.01010115
9. Markowitz GS, et al. Drug-induced glomerular disease: Direct cellular injury. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2015; 7:1292–1300. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00860115
10. Izzedine H, Perazella MA. Thrombotic microangiopathy, cancer, and cancer drugs. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2015; 66:857–868. doi: 10.1053/ajkd.2015.02.340
11. Palma LMP, et al. Complement in secondary thrombotic microangiopathy. *Kidney Int Rep* 2021; 6:11–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ekir.2020.10.009

Continued on page 28 ➤